

Have we now come too far in our resistance to death?

"upstream" to earlier stages in the disease process-and the inclusion of chronic, life limiting conditionspromotes the rhetoric of quality of life versus a good death. Consider the following, from an Italian study of quality of life and outcomes in palliative care: "Dying during the study period is a strong indicator of patients who entered the palliative care intervention in very poor health conditions. We expected and observed a worst [quality of life] outcome for patients like these."21 The authors could be forgiven for implying that the patients had somehow got things wrong.

Is this evidence of the medicalisation of death? In part only. Paradoxically, what we are seeing is the medicalisation of palliative care, a specialty that opens up a space somewhere between the hope of cure and the acceptance of death. In doing so, it makes a classic appeal to the desires of "patients" in a modern culture, where we dread not so much the state of death as the process of dying. In this sense it is more appropriate to view medicalisation as the expected rather than unintended outcome of the growth of palliative care, especially in the British context, where medical pioneers are central to its history.

## Conclusion

What light does this shed on the original critique of the medicalisation of dying? At the time Illich was writing, the mid-1970s, a much more unitary and optimistic view of medicine was in evidence than exists today, and this was a basis for his critique. Now the modern medical system is pervaded with doubt, scepticism, and a mistrust of expert claims. In a sense he has won the argument. Medicine has become more disassembled and further divided into micro-specialisms. In this context, is

## Box 2: Elements of a "good death" in modern Western culture

- · Pain-free death
- · Open acknowledgment of the imminence of death
- Death at home, surrounded by family and friends
- · An "aware" death-in which personal conflicts and unfinished business are resolved
- · Death as personal growth
- Death according to personal preference and in a manner that resonates with the person's individuality

palliative medicine contributing to the medicalisation of death, despite its early intentions? The answer is probably yes; and for some patients, pain and other physical suffering are better controlled as a result. It is inappropriate to see this as an example of either medical imperialism or the world we have lost. The challenge for palliative physicians is no different to that facing their counterparts elsewhere in medicine: how to reconcile high expectations of technical expertise with calls for a humanistic and ethical orientation for which they are largely unselected and only partially trained.

Competing interests: None declared.

- Illich I. Limits to medicine: medical nemesis: the expropriation of health.
- Clark D. Cradled to the grave? Preconditions for the hospice movement in the UK, 1948-67. Mortality 1999;4:225-47.
- Clark D. "Total pain" disciplinary power and the body in the work of Cicely Saunders, 1958-67. Soc Sci Med 1999;49:727-36.

  Mount B. The Royal Victoria Hospital Palliative Care Service: a Canadian
- experience. In: Saunders C, Kastenbaum R, eds. Hospice care on the international scene. New York: Springer, 1997.
- Bauman Z. Mortality, immortality and other life strategies, Oxford; Polity,
- Seale C, Cartwright A. The year before death. Aldershot: Avebury, 1994. Audit Commission. Critical to success: the place of efficient and effective critical
- care services within the acute hospital. London: Audit Commission, 1999.
- Bion J, Strunin L. Multiple organ failure: from basic science to prevention, [editorial]. Br J Anaesthesia 1996;77(1):1-2.
- Ahronheim JC, Morrison RS, Baskin SA, Morris J, Meier DE. Treatment of the dying in the acute care hospital: advanced dementia and metastatic cancer. *Arch Intern Med* 1996;156:2094-100.
- 10 Moskowitz EH, Nelson JL. The best laid plans. Hastings' Center Report (Supplement) 1995;Nov-Dec:53-5.

  11 Seymour JE. Critical moments—death and dying in intensive care.
- Buckingham: Open University Press, 2001.
- 12 SMAC (Standing Medical Advisory Committee)/SNMAC (Standing Nursing and Midwifery Advisory Committee). The principles and provision of palliative care. London: HMSO, 1992.
- 13 Clark D, Seymour J. Reflections on palliative care: sociological and policy per-spectives. Buckingham: Open University Press, 1999.
- 14 Doyle D. Palliative medicine-a time for definition? Palliative Med 1993:7:253-5.
- 15 Mathers N, Rowland S. General practice—a post-modern specialty? Br J Gen Pract 1997;47:177-9
- 16 Clinch JJ, Dudgeon D, Schipper H. Quality of life assessment in palliative care. In: Doyle D, Hanks GWC, N MacDonald, eds. The Oxford textbook of balliative medicine. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- 17 Kearney M. Palliative medicine—just another specialty? Palliative Med 1992;6:39-46.
- 18 Good P, Stafford B. Inpatient palliative medicine is evidence based. Palliative Med 2001:15:493-8
- 19 Ahmedzai S. Five years, five threads [editorial]. Progress in Palliative Care
- 20 Biswas B. The medicalization of dying: a nurse's view. In: Clark D, ed. The future for palliative care. Buckingham: Open University Press, 1993:135.
- Paci E, Miccinesi G, Toscani F, Tamburini M, Brunelli C, Constantini M, et al. Quality of life assessment and outcome of palliative care. J Pain Symptom Management 2001;21:179-88.

## **Endpiece**

## Trapped between two evils

The consequences of this continuing modernist deconstruction of mortality have brought us to the current postmodernist impasse in which dying patients are trapped between two evils: a runaway medical technology of ventilators, surgeries, and organ transplants that can keep bodies alive indefinitely and—as if this prospect were not frightening enough-an understandable but reckless public clamor for physician-assisted suicide as the only alternative to such ignominious physician-assisted suffering.

> David B Morris. Illness and culture in the postmodern age. Berkeley CA, London: University of California Press, 1998

Submitted by Iona Heath, general practitioner, London